

## REMARKS

The claims were rejected under 35 USC 102, 103 and/or 112. Applicant respectfully traverses. The rejections under section 112 have been obviated by the above amendments.

With respect to the rejections under section 102 and 103, the amendments have clarified the present invention and the pending claims are allowable over the art of record. Specifically, the claims have been amended to include a motor controller DSP or a single chip DSP as forming the circuitry of the programmer. None of the references cited, alone or in combination teach forming a multi-mode programmer from either specifically a motor controller DSP circuit or a single chip DSP. As explained in the specification, this type of chip has been arranged to provide for multi-mode communication in a way that is not taught nor suggested by the prior art.

With respect to the objections to the specification, Applicant respectfully traverses. Ipsiis verbis agreement between the claims and specification is not required. The word choices highlighted by the Examiner are self explanatory and quite readily understood in the context of the application.

Applicant respectfully asserts that the present application is in condition for allowance and requests notice of the same. Should any issues remain outstanding, the Examiner is urged to telephone the undersigned to expedite prosecution.

Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned attorney to attend to these matters.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 21, 2006

/Daniel G. Chapik/  
Daniel G. Chapik  
Reg. 43,424  
Telephone: (763) 514-3066  
Customer No. 27581